UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,144	09/06/2005	Alfred Heimann	20496-473	2748
42532 PROSKAUER	7590 06/14/201 ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	nt under
10/521,144	HEIMANN ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Rick K. Chang	3726	
	<u>-</u>	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 4/15/10.
 Improper Request – The Reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ise continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Rick K. Chang.	(3)
(2) <u>David Bryant</u> .	(4)
/Rick K. Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726	/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726